

Fellowship scoring sheet

scoring categories (0=weak; 1=fair; 2= good; 3 = excellent)									Score 1-5**		
Reviewer	Project				Proposed Host		Candidate		overall impression	FINAL SCORE %	ethics approved
	originality/ novelty of Project	feasibility (time- frame, practicality)	usefulness for home/career	Methods	Department	Supervisor	CV	publications (peer review/ Abstracts/book chapters/ review)*			
Panel chair 1										0,00	
Panel chair 2										0,00	
EduCom										0,00	
											total mean score

scores for Project/Host dept/ candidate (based on documents)

3 = excellent	2= good	1=fair	0 = weak
---------------	---------	--------	----------

** scores for overall impression (personal impression of reviewer)

5 = Highly recommended for funding	At the leading edge internationally; very impressive throughout	4 = Recommended for funding	Internationally competitive; leading edge nationally; very good	3 = Fundable	Nationally competitive; some weaker aspects	2 = Not recommended for funding	Modest; some serious weaknesses	1 = Not fundable	Duplicates other work; flawed methodology or science
---	---	--	---	-------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--